



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,917	10/614,917 07/07/2003		Kevin Wilson	3247.4US (97-0254.04/US)	7872
24247	7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT				WERNER, BRIAN P	
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2621	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/614,917	WILSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian P. Werner	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	(O) EDOI 4					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 D	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>attached</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/614,917

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The specification and claim amendments received on December 1, 2003 have been entered. Claims 1-26 are pending.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 2, paragraph 0001, "U.S. Patent 6,58,854" should be corrected to read - - "U.S. Patent 6,588,854" - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,917 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-33 of U.S. Patent Numbers 6,588,854 B2,

6,400,840 B2, 6,226,394 B1 and 6,049,624 A. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences are of a minor nature,

and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. For the sake of brevity, and

given that the filing of a "terminal disclaimer" can overcome this rejection, the examiner shall

not detail each and every minor difference between the patent and application claims. However,

should applicant request such a detailed breakdown, the examiner will be happy to oblige in the

subsequent Office Action.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-26 are allowed.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Independent claims 1 and 11 recite the distinguishing features of:

correlating an ID code of an integrated circuit with a substantially unique ID code of the

substrate upon which the circuit is mounted,

assembling the circuits in multiple lots, and

associating assembly data with the substantially unique ID code.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,917

Art Unit: 2621

Page 4

These limitations are not suggested by the prior art, and allow for the "processing of IC devices without regard to lots, and thus substantially eliminates the inefficiencies associated with the conventional lot-based tracking methods" and "provides a more reliable tracking method" as described at specification paragraph 0019. The most pertinent prior art Shills et al. (US 4,510,673 A – art of record) provides an IC circuit with a unique ID for tracking through production. However, Shills does not correlate the ID with a unique ID marked on mounting substrate, among other claimed features. Jernigan (US 5,642,307 A – new art) teaches a method of marking a device package by hand with a lot number, wafer number and die position (figure 2). However, Jernigan does not disclose a substantially unique ID, among other claimed features.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,917

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Werner whose telephone number is 571-272-7401. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh M. Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Werner Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621 April 20, 2005

BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER